

LARSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

455 W. Spruce St. Harrison, MI 48625 989-539-3259

School Annual Education Report (AER) Cover Letter

August 19, 2013

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2012-2013 educational progress for the Robert M. Larson Elementary School . The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, accountability and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Julie A. Rosekrans, Principal for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site www.harrisonschools.com or you may review a copy in the principal's office at your child's school.

The state has identified some schools with the status of Reward, Focus or Priority. A Reward school is one that is outperforming other schools in achievement, growth, or is performing better than other schools with a similar student population. A Focus school is one that has a large achievement gap in 30% of it's student achievement scores. A Priority school is one whose achievement and growth is in the lowest 5% of all schools in the state.

Our school has not been given one of these labels OR has been identified as a Reward School OR Focus School OR Priority School.

During the 2012 – 2013 school year staff analyzed state assessment data and determined that students struggle in the area of Reading Comprehension and Math in all areas.

READING- On the 2012-2013 MEAP, 43.9% of the third grade students were proficient on the reading MEAP assessment. Furthermore, the gap in Reading between non-economically disadvantaged students/economically disadvantaged students is 10.5%. The gap between males/females is 13.9%. The gap between non-disabled and disabled students is a concern.

MATH- On the 2012-2013 MEAP, 22.2% of the third grade students were proficient on the math MEAP assessment. Again the gap between non-disabled and disabled students is a concern.

To address both areas staff implemented a Collaborative Intervention time in the school schedule. To decrease the achievement gap while increasing all students' achievement, a Common Intervention will be implemented that focuses on students' at risk of academic failure and there are of need. The Intervention will be implemented with fidelity using best practice strategies, small group instruction, and professional development. To evaluate student growth the building will use Reading and Math benchmark assessments

Description of the School

Larson Elementary Schools serves students in Kindergarten, First Grade and Second Grade. Programs and services provided at the school include English Language Arts, Mathematics, Science, Social Studies, school-wide Title I Support, Family and Community Liaison, Police Liaison, School Nurse, Early Childhood Program, Balanced Literacy Framework, Young Authors, Study Ladder, Breakfast Program, Parent Workshops, Family Nights, SPARKS, PTO, Skyward Reporting for Parents, Technology, Music Programs, Health and Wellness, Extended Learning Opportunities, and Community Collaboration and Support. We employ the most up-to date, research based instructional practices. Our friendly, caring atmosphere provides our students with a great place to learn.

The staff at Larson Elementary will diligently continue to collect and analyze student data in order to make informed educational decisions for all students. Additionally, the data will also drive our professional development needs as outlined in our school improvement plan. We are committed to providing the best education and latest technology for our students at Larson Elementary School. Each room has a document camera, LCD projector and 3-5 lpads. Our safe, friendly and positive atmosphere

ensures that our students feel secure, relaxed and cared for as they learn and achieve throughout the school year. We also provide parents with a parent resource center filled with materials and information to help their child at home. Our Family and Community Liaison along with the staff strive to make parental involvement a top priority since the link between positive family engagement and student achievement is great.

Process for Assigning Pupils to the School

All district pupils in Kindergarten, First Grade and Second Grade are assigned to Larson Elementary School since there is only one building in this district that serves this grade span of pupils. Students may also be assigned to this building for a program offered that better meets their educational needs.

School Improvement Process

School improvement at Larson Elementary School is an ongoing process. Believing that all students can learn, the school improvement document is designed to increase students' level of academic achievement and help them become life-long learners. Our current school improvement 3-5 year plan is in its second year of implementation. In the development of our plan, the staff at Larson has investigated best practices as indicated in research. We recognize the impact that highly effective strategies and instruction can have on student success. As we address our Grade Level Expectations and core academic standards, we look for the best possible methods of teaching for mastery. Workshops, conferences and in-services are attended by staff in an effort to stay current with effective practices. We identify professional development needs based on our school goals, assessment data, and teacher need. Access to the internet has made it possible for staff to research and obtain information on a wide variety of subjects, strategies and programs in a short period of time.

Larson Elementary School strives towards meeting the No Child Left Behind and Education YES! Initiatives. The core academic areas are the focus of our school improvement plan along with providing meaningful parent involvement programs and activities, teacher education and professional development. The staff is committed to ensuring that the students enjoy a safe, drug free environment where they can contribute positively as members of the school community.

Status of School Improvement Plan

A copy of the School Improvement Plan is available on the school website at www.harrisonschools.com and a paper copy can be accessed from the principal's office or parent resource area. The School Improvement Team, composed of several focus groups, meets regularly during the school year. The purpose of the team is to develop, review and evaluate goals, objectives and strategies for the School Improvement Plan. The School Improvement Team facilitates the continuous collection and analysis of academic assessment data to guide instruction and evaluate progress toward student achievement goals.

		PROGRESS						
GOALS	NOT YET	MAKING	COMPLETED					
	BEGUN	PROGRESS						
Goal 1 Reading								
All students at Larson Elementary School will make progress towards be	coming profic	cient readers.						
Strategy: Family and Community Engagement								
Families will learn how to support their child's learning at home in								
reading through evidenced based workshops and activities presented		X						
by school staff. Topics will be determined by academic need based on								
data and parent input based on surveys. Families and community will								
be stakeholders in the school improvement process through increased								
knowledge and engagement.								
Strategy: Curriculum Alignment: Teachers will systemically								
implement the district curriculum with fidelity. Teachers will focus on		X						
the alignment of the Common Core State Standards, including pacing								
guides, assessments, unit plans, lesson plans and instruction. The								
curriculum will include the necessary elements of the Reading								
Practices.								
Strategy: Technology								
Teachers will incorporate technology across the curriculum through								
grade level technology benchmarks. Technology tools and		.,						
professional development will be implemented to enhance general		Х						
instruction and improve technology literacy.								
Strategy: Timely and Appropriate Intervention								
Teachers will use data to identify students who are at risk of academic								
failure. Instructional interventions will be provided based on students'		X						
need in their area of weakness.								
Goal 2 Writing								
All students at Larson Elementary School will make progress in becomin	g proficient i	n writing.						
Strategy: Family and Community Engagement								
Families will learn how to support their child's learning at home in								
reading through evidenced based workshops and activities presented		X						
by school staff. Topics will be determined by academic need based on								

data and parent input based on surveys. Families and community will		
be stakeholders in the school improvement process through increased		
knowledge and engagement.		
Strategy: Curriculum Alignment: Teachers will systemically		
implement the district curriculum with fidelity. Teachers will focus on	X	
the alignment of the Common Core State Standards, including pacing		
guides, assessments, unit plans, lesson plans and instruction. The		
curriculum will include the necessary elements of Write From the		
Beginning and informational writing across the curriculum.		
Strategy: Technology		
Teachers will incorporate technology across the curriculum through		
grade level technology benchmarks. Technology tools and		
professional development will be implemented to enhance general	X	
instruction and improve technology literacy.		
Strategy: Timely and Appropriate Intervention		
Teachers will use data to identify students who are at risk of academic	X	
failure. Instructional interventions will be provided based on students'		
need in their area of weakness.		
Goal 3 Mathematics		
All students at Larson Elementary School will make progress in becomin	g proficient in math.	
Strategy: Family and Community Engagement		
Families will learn how to support their child's learning at home in		
reading through evidenced based workshops and activities presented	X	
by school staff. Topics will be determined by academic need based on		
data and parent input based on surveys. Families and community will		
be stakeholders in the school improvement process through increased		
knowledge and engagement.		
Strategy: Curriculum Alignment: Teachers will systemically		
implement the district curriculum with fidelity. Teachers will focus on	X	
the alignment of the Common Core State Standards, including pacing		
guides, assessments, unit plans, lesson plans and instruction. The		
curriculum will include the necessary elements of the Mathematical		
Practices.		
Strategy: Technology		
Teachers will incorporate technology across the curriculum through		
grade level technology benchmarks. Technology tools and		
professional development will be implemented to enhance general	X	
instruction and improve technology literacy.		
Strategy: Timely and Appropriate Intervention		
Teachers will use data to identify students who are at risk of academic		
•	х	
failure. Instructional interventions will be provided based on students'		
need in their area of weakness. Goal 4 Science		
	a proficient in science	
All students at Larson Elementary School will make progress at becomin	g proncient in science.	
Strategy: Family and Community Engagement		
Families will learn how to support their child's learning at home in	Х	
reading through evidenced based workshops and activities presented	^	
by school staff. Topics will be determined by academic need based on		

	<u> </u>	
data and parent input based on surveys. Families and community will		
be stakeholders in the school improvement process through increased		
knowledge and engagement.		
Strategy: Curriculum Alignment: Teachers will systemically		
implement the district curriculum with fidelity. Teachers will focus on	X	
the alignment of the Common Core State Standards/Next Generation		
Science including pacing guides, assessments, unit plans, lesson plans		
and instruction. The curriculum will include the necessary elements of		
the eight sciences and engineering practices.		
Strategy: Technology		
Teachers will incorporate technology across the curriculum through		
grade level technology benchmarks. Technology tools and		
professional development will be implemented to enhance general	X	
instruction and improve technology literacy.		
Strategy: Timely and Appropriate Intervention		
Teachers will use data to identify students who are at risk of academic		
failure. Instructional interventions will be provided based on students'		
need in their area of weakness.		
Goal 5 Social Studies		
All students at Larson Elementary School will make progress at becoming	proficient in social studies.	
Strategy: Family and Community Engagement		
Families will learn how to support their child's learning at home in		
reading through evidenced based workshops and activities presented	X	
by school staff. Topics will be determined by academic need based on		
data and parent input based on surveys. Families and community will		
be stakeholders in the school improvement process through increased		
knowledge and engagement.		
Strategy: Curriculum Alignment: Teachers will systemically		
implement the district curriculum with fidelity. Teachers will focus on	X	
the alignment of the Common Core State Standards, including pacing		
guides, assessments, unit plans, lesson plans and instruction.		
Strategy: Technology		
Teachers will incorporate technology across the curriculum through		
grade level technology benchmarks. Technology tools and		
professional development will be implemented to enhance general	X	
instruction and improve technology literacy.		
Strategy: Timely and Appropriate Intervention		
Teachers will use data to identify students who are at risk of academic		
failure. Instructional interventions will be provided based on students'	X	

Core Curriculum Status

Larson Elementary School has been working on transitioning to the Common Core State Standards. Each grade level is responsible to update their curriculum maps,

pacing guides, and assessments/evaluations. A copy of the Core Curriculum is available in the principal's office either electronically or by paper format. Also, staff members have content/grade level documents in their classrooms. During the 2013-2014 school year staff will finalize all curriculum and will begin implementing in the classrooms. To this time we are not making any variances from the State's Model.

English Language Arts

The English Language Arts curriculum follows the Common Core State Standards approved by Michigan's State Board of Education. Building educators utilize Benchmark Education Literacy, Write From the Beginning and supplemental resources, which are verified by research conducted by the school improvement team and district curriculum committees. The entire staff will continually to upgrade instruction skills in language arts and attends professional development activities.

Mathematics

The Mathematics curriculum follows the Common Core State Standards approved by Michigan's State Board of Education. Building educators utilize My Math and supplemental resources verified by research conducted by the school improvement team and district curriculum committees. The entire staff will continually to upgrade instruction skills in mathematics and attends professional development activities.

Science

The Science curriculum follows the Grade Level Content Expectations approved by Michigan's State Board of Education. Building educators will utilize the Next Science Generation practices in the 2013/2014 school year. The entire staff will continually to upgrade instruction skills in the science practices and attends professional development activities.

Social Studies

The Social Studies curriculum follows the Grade Level Content Expectations approved by Michigan's State Board of Education. Building educators utilize the Micitizenship curriculum along with supplemental resources verified by the building school improvement team, and district curriculum team. The entire staff will continually to upgrade instruction skills in the science practices and attends professional development activities.

Highly Qualified Staff

Larson Elementary School is committed to making sure that all of its teachers and staff are Highly Qualified in accordance with the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. Currently each of the buildings teachers holds a valid State of Michigan teaching certificate for the position to which he or she is assigned. NCLB states that parents must be informed of their right to know a teacher's qualifications.

According to information submitted to the Michigan Department of Education's Registry of Education Personnel (REP), 100% of Larson Elementary Teachers met the highly qualified standards for the classes they teach.

THE AGGREGATE STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT RESULTS FOR ANY LOCAL COMPETENCY TESTS OR NATIONALLY NORMED ACHIEVEMENT TESTS

	Education	Report									
Hillside Eleme											
	ssment Accour			/ NAEP							
Michigan Educ	ational Assessment	•	•				~,				a
Testing S	school Students	State % Students	District %	School %			% Proficien		% Partially Proficient	_	% Not
Group	Year Tested	Proficient	Students Proficient	Students Proficient			(Level 2)	_	(Level 3)	-	Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics		TTOHOLOTT	TTOHOLOH	TOHOLOR	(LCVC	<u> </u>	(LCVC) Z	L	(LCVCI O)	-	(LCVCI 4)
Matromation	3rd Grade										
			2011-	1000/	00.00/ /	050/	050/	- 10	050/	00.00/	40.00/
	All Students		12	100%	36.3% 2	25%	25%	< 10	25%	28.8%	46.2%
	All Students		2012- 13	100%	40.9% 2	22.2%	22.2%	< 10	22.2%	19.4%	58.3%
	African Americar	า	2011- 12	< 10	14.5%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
	American Indian		2012- 13	< 10	30.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
	Asian		2012- 13	< 10	65.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
	Hispanic of Any	Race	2011- 12	< 10	23%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
	Hispanic of Any	Race	2012- 13	< 10	25.7%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
	Two or More Rad	ces	2011- 12	< 10	34.4%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
	Two or More Rad	ces	2012- 13	< 10	40%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
	White		2011- 12	100%	42.3% 2	25.8%	25.8%	< 10	25.8%	28.9%	45.4%
	White		2012- 13	100%	47.4% 2	21.2%	21.2%	< 10	21.2%	20.2%	58.6%
	Female		2011-	100%	34.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	22%	60%

Group School Students St	udents St	strict % udents oficient	School % Students Proficient	Adva	% inced rel 1)	% Proficien (Level 2)		ient	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Female		2012- 13	100%	39.8%	22.6%	22.6%	< 10 22.6%	22.6%	54.7%
Male		2011- 12	100%	37.6%	31.5%	31.5%	< 10 31.5%	35.2%	33.3%
Male		2012- 13	100%	42%	21.8%	21.8%	< 10 21.8%	< 10	61.8%
Economically Disadv	antaged	2011- 12	100%	23%	15.5%	15.5%	< 10 15.5%	28.2%	56.3%
Economically Disadv	antaged	2012- 13	100%	26.8%	21.2%	21.2%	< 10 21.2%	18.8%	60%
Students With Disabi	lities	2011- 12	100%	18.5%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10	< 10
Students With Disabi	lities	2012- 13	100%	21.5%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10	< 10
4th Grade		0011							
All Students		2011-	100%	39.9%	25.2%	25.2%	< 10 25.2%	15.3%	59.5%
All Students		2012-	100%	46.1%	24.5%	24.5%	< 10 24.5%	12.8%	62.8%
African American		2012- 13	< 10	20%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10	< 10
Hispanic of Any Race	e	2012- 13	< 10	33.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10	< 10
Two or More Races		2011- 12	< 10	38.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10	< 10
Two or More Races		2012- 13	< 10	44.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10	< 10
White		2011- 12	100%	46.4%	24.5%	24.5%	< 10 24.5%	15.5%	60%
White		2012- 13	100%	53%	25.8%	25.8%	< 10 25.8%	13.5%	60.7%
Female		2011- 12	100%	38.5%	23.7%	23.7%	< 10 23.7%	< 10	61%
Female		2012- 13	100%	45.7%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10	75 %
Male		2011- 12	100%	41.2%	26.9%	26.9%	< 10 26.9%	< 10	57.7%
Male		2012- 13	100%	46.4%	32.6%	32.6%	< 10 32.6%	< 10	50%
Economically Disadv	antaged	2011- 12	100%	25.3%	26.4%	26.4%	< 10 26.4%	13.8%	59.8%
Economically Disadv	antaged	2012- 13	100%	31.1%	19.1%	19.1%	< 10 19.1%	< 10	75 %
Students With Disabi	lities	2011- 12	100%	18.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10	72%
Students With Disabi	lities	2012- 13	100%	23%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10	75%
5th Grade									
All Students		2011- 12	98.8%	39.6%	16.3%	16.3%	< 10 16.3%	25%	58.8%
All Students		2012- 13	100%	45.7%	25%	25%	< 10 24.1%	14.3%	60.7%
Two or More Races		2011- 12	< 10	37.2%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10	< 10

Testing Group	School % State % Students Year Tested Proficier	Students	School % Students Proficien	Adva	nced	% Proficien (Level 2)	_	t Proficient
	Two or More Races	2012- 13	< 10	42.7%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	White	2011- 12	98.8%	45.7%	15.2%	15.2%	< 10 15.2%	25.3% 59.5%
	White	2012- 13	100%	52.4%	24.3%	24.3%	< 10 23.4%	14.4% 61.3%
	Female	2011- 12	97.6%	37.5%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 65.9%
	Female	2012- 13	100%	43.9%	24.2%	24.2%	< 10 24.2%	< 10 61.3%
	Male	2011- 12	100%	41.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	28.2% 51.3%
	Male	2012- 13	100%	47.5%	26%	26%	< 10 24%	< 10 60%
	Economically Disadvantage	d 2011- 12	100%	24.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	19.6% 69.6%
	Economically Disadvantage	d 2012- 13	100%	30.3%	25.6%	25.6%	< 10 24.4%	11.6% 62.8%
	Students With Disabilities	2011- 12	100%	16%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 80%
	Students With Disabilities	2012- 13	100%	19.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 80%
Reading	3rd Grade							
	All Students	2011- 12	100%	62.4%	47.1%	47.1%	< 10 40.4%	38.5% 14.4%
	All Students	2012- 13	100%	66.5%	43.9%	43.9%	< 10 41.1%	28% 28%
	African American	2011- 12	< 10	38.4%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	American Indian	2012- 13	< 10	60.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Asian	2012- 13	< 10	79%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Hispanic of Any Race	2011- 12	< 10	47.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Hispanic of Any Race	2012- 13	< 10	53.5%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Two or More Races	2011- 12	< 10	63%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Two or More Races	2012- 13	< 10	67.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	White	2011- 12	100%	69.8%	47.4%	47.4%	< 10 40.2%	38.1% 14.4%
	White	2012- 13	100%	73%	43.9%	43.9%	< 10 40.8%	27.6% 28.6%
	Female	2011- 12	100%	65.9%	48%	48%	< 10 40%	36% < 10
	Female	2012- 13	100%	70.2%	50.9%	50.9%	< 10 49.1%	32.1% < 10
	Male	2011- 12	100%	59%	46.3%	46.3%	< 10 40.7%	40.7% < 10
	Male	2012- 13	100%	63%	37%	37%	< 10 33.3%	24.1% 38.9%
	Economically Disadvantage	d 2011-	100%	49.5%	40.8%	40.8%	< 10 39.4%	39.4% 19.7%

Testing GroupSchool Year% StudentsState 9 StudentsTestedProficients	ts Students	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	<u>%</u> Proficient (Level 2)		
Economically Disadvantage	2012-	100%	53.8% 41.7%	6 41.7%	< 10 39.3%	27.4% 31%
Students With Disabilities	2011- 12	100%	34.3% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
Students With Disabilities	2012- 13	< 10	37.9% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
4th Grade						
All Students	2011- 12	100%	67.7% 56.8%	6 56.8%	< 10 50.5%	24.3% 18.9%
All Students	2012- 13	100%	68.1% 53.2%	6 53.2%	< 10 50%	37.2% < 10
African American	2012- 13	< 10	43% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
Hispanic of Any Race	2012- 13	< 10	57.5% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
Two or More Races	2011- 12	< 10	66.6% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
Two or More Races	2012- 13	< 10	68.7% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
White	2011- 12	100%	74.4% 56.4%	6 56.4%	< 10 50%	24.5% 19.1%
White	2012- 13	100%	75.1% 53.9%	6 53.9 %	< 10 50.6%	36% < 10
Female	2011- 12 2012-	100%	71.7% 61%	61%	< 10 57.6%	25.4% < 10
Female	13	100%	71.1% 52.19	6 52.1%	< 10 47.9%	39.6% < 10
Male	2011- 12 2012-	100%	63.7% 51.9%	6 51.9%	< 10 42.3%	23.1% 25%
Male	13		65.1% 54.3%	6 54.3%	< 10 52.2%	34.8% < 10
Economically Disadvantage	12 2012-		55% 54%	54%	< 10 48.3%	24.1% 21.8%
Economically Disadvantage	ed 13 2011-		55.1% 45.6%		< 10 44.1%	42.6% < 10
Students With Disabilities	12	100%	35% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 52%
Students With Disabilities 5th Grade	2012- 13	100%	38.3% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
Stil Glade	2011					
All Students	2011- 12 2012-	98.8%	68.8% 56.3%	6 56.3%	< 10 53.8%	27.5% 16.3%
All Students	13	100%	70.4% 59.8%	% 59.8% <i>8</i>	8.9% 50.9%	20.5% 19.6%
Two or More Races	2011- 12	< 10	68.7% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
Two or More Races	2012- 13	< 10	70.4% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
White	2011- 12	98.8%	74.8% 55.7%	6 55. 7 %	< 10 53.2%	27.8% 16.5%
White	2012- 13	100%	76.9% 59.5%	6 59.5%	< 10 51.4%	20.7% 19.8%
Female	2011- 12	97.6%	71.7% 61%	61%	< 10 58.5%	< 10 < 10

Testing Group	School Year % State % Tested Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	Advanced	% Proficient (Level 2)		t Proficient
	Female	2012- 13	100%	74.1% 64.5%	64.5%	< 10 56.5%	19.4% 16.1%
	Male	2011- 12	100%	65.9% 51.3%	51.3%	< 10 48.7%	35.9% < 10
	Male	2012- 13	100%	66.8% 54%	54%	< 10 44%	22% 24%
	Economically Disadvantaged	2011- 12	100%	56.1% 48.2%	48.2%	< 10 48.2%	28.6% 23.2%
	Economically Disadvantaged	2012- 13	100%	57.9% 57%	57%	< 10 47.7%	18.6% 24.4%
	Students With Disabilities	2011- 12	100%	34.2% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Students With Disabilities	2012- 13	100%	36.6% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 50%
Science	5th Grade						
	All Students	2011- 12	98.8%	15.3% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	30.5% 63.4%
	All Students	2012- 13	100%	13.1% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	31.7% 64.2%
	African American	2011- 12	< 10	3.2% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Two or More Races	2011- 12	< 10	14.2% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Two or More Races	2012- 13	< 10	12.2% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	White	2011- 12	98.8%	18.9% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	30% 63.7%
	White	2012- 13	100%	16% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	31.1% 64.7%
	Female	2011- 12	97.7%	13.6% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	26.2% 69%
	Female	2012- 13	100%	11.6% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	27.7% 69.2%
	Male	2011- 12	100%	17% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	35% 57.5%
	Male	2012- 13	100%	14.5% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	36.4% 58.2%
	Economically Disadvantaged	2011- 12	100%	7% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	24.1% 74.1%
	Economically Disadvantaged	2012- 13	100%	5.8% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	29.8% 66%
	Students With Disabilities	2011- 12	100%	5.5% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 81.3%
	Students With Disabilities	2012- 13	100%	4.1% < 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 78.6%

Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

State % **District %** School % % Advanced % Partially % Not % Students Testing School **Students Proficient Students Students Proficient Proficient** Group **Year Proficient Proficient** (Level 4) **Tested Proficient** (Level 1) (Level 2) (Level 3)

No records to display for the current Report Settings.

Testing Group	School % Students Year Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	<u>%</u> Exceeded	% % I <u>Met</u> <u>Progressing</u>
Mathematics	3rd Grade					
	All Students	2011-12	100%	56.4%	< 10 < 10	< 10 100%
	All Students	2012-13	80%	63.7%	100% 100%	< 100% < 10
	African American	2011-12	< 10	47.2%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	White	2011-12	< 10	65.2%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	White	2012-13	80%	68.3%	100% 100%	< 100% < 10
	Female	2011-12	< 10	51.9%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Female	2012-13	< 10	58.4%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Male	2012-13	75%	66.4%	100% 100%	< 100% < 10
	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	< 10	57.7%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	80%	62.4%	100% 100%	< 100% < 10
	4th Grade					
	All Students	2011-12	100%	55.1%	< 10 < 10	< 10 75%
	All Students	2012-13	< 10	57.5%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	African American	2012-13	< 10	47%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	White	2011-12	100%	61%	< 10 < 10	< 10 75%
	White	2012-13	< 10	63.6%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Female	2011-12	< 10	53.4%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Female	2012-13	< 10	56.8%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Male	2011-12	< 10	58.9%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	100%	54.6%	< 10 < 10	< 10 75%
	Economically Disadvantaged 5th Grade	2012-13	< 10	55.5%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	All Students	2011-12	100%	59.9%	100% 100%	< 100% < 10
	All Students	2012-13	100%	58.5%	71.4% 71.4%	< 10 57.1% < 10
	African American	2011-12	< 10	50.9%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10 < 10
	White	2011-12	< 10	67.5%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	White	2012-13	100%	64%	71.4% 71.4%	< 57.1% < 10

Testing Group	School % Students Year Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient		<u>%</u> Exceeded	<u>%</u> <u>Met</u>	% Progressing
	Female	2011-12	< 10	59.2%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10
	Female	2012-13	< 10	55.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10
	Male	2011-12	< 10	64%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10
	Male	2012-13	100%	60.4%	60%	60%	< 10 < 10	< 10
	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	< 10	59.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10
Reading	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	100%	55.7%	71.49	6 71.4%	< 57.1	% < 10
	3rd Grade							
	All Students	2011-12	100%	42.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	100%
	All Students	2012-13	85.7%	39.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	83.3%
	African American	2011-12	< 10	43.5%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10
	White	2011-12	< 10	42.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10
	White	2012-13	85.7%	42.8%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	83.3%
	Female	2011-12	< 10	43.8%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10
	Female	2012-13	< 10	41.5%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10
	Male	2012-13	80%	38.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	100%
	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	< 10	39.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10
	Economically Disadvantaged 4th Grade	2012-13	85.7%	34.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	83.3%
	All Students	2011-12	100%	45.8%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	100%
	All Students	2012-13	< 10	46.3%	< 10	< 10		0 < 10
	African American	2012-13	< 10	36.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10
	White	2011-12	100%	50.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	100%
	White	2012-13	< 10	51.4%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10
	Female	2011-12	< 10	46.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10
	Female	2012-13	< 10	50.8%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10
	Male	2011-12	< 10	47.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10
	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	100%	44.5%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	100%
	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	< 10	43.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10 < 10	< 10

Testing Group	School Year Students Tested 5th Grade	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	<u>%</u> Exceeded	<u>%</u> <u>%</u> <u>Met</u> <u>Progressing</u>
	All Students	2011-12	100%	55%	66.7% 66.7%	< 66.7% 33.3%
	All Students	2012-13	100%	59.8%	< 10 < 10	< 10 75%
	African American	2011-12	< 10	47.3%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	White	2011-12	< 10	61.1%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	White	2012-13	100%	63.4%	< 10 < 10	< 10 75%
	Female	2011-12	< 10	57.3%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Female	2012-13	100%	64.2%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Male	2011-12	< 10	56.8%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Male	2012-13	100%	57.4%	< 10 < 10	< 10 80%
	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	< 10	52.8%	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	100%	58%	< 10 < 10	< 10 75%

MI-Access

Functional Independence

Testing School Student Group Year Student Tested		District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed	<u>% Attaine</u> (Level 2)	d % Emerging (Level 3)
3rd Grade						
All Students		2011-12	< 10	72% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
All Students		2012-13	< 10	77.5% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
White		2011-12	< 10	75.2% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
White		2012-13	< 10	80.8% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
Male		2011-12	< 10	73.1% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
Male		2012-13	< 10	77.8% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
Economically D	isadvantaged	2011-12	< 10	72.1% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
Economically D	isadvantaged	2012-13	< 10	78.5% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
4th Grade						
All Students		2012-13	< 10	79.4% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
White		2012-13	< 10	80.6% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10

Testing Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpasse	Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark	½ <u>% Emerging</u> (Level 3)
	Male	•		2012-13	< 10	81.6% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Ecor	nomically Disac	dvantaged	2012-13	< 10	79.7% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	5th G	Grade						
	All St	tudents		2011-12	< 10	69.9% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	White	е		2011-12	< 10	73.3% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Male	•		2011-12	< 10	72.2% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
Dooding	Ecor	nomically Disac	dvantaged	2011-12	< 10	71.2% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
Reading	3rd C	Grade						
	All St	tudents		2011-12	< 10	75.5% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	All St	tudents		2012-13	< 10	81.7% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	White	е		2011-12	< 10	79.2% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10
	White	е		2012-13	< 10	84.9% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Male	•		2011-12	< 10	74.1% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Male	•		2012-13	< 10	81.7% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Ecor	nomically Disac	dvantaged	2011-12	< 10	74.1% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Ecor	nomically Disac	dvantaged	2012-13	< 10	81.2% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	4th G	Grade						
	All St	tudents		2012-13	< 10	75.1% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	White	е		2012-13	< 10	75.1% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Male	•		2012-13	< 10	75.6% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Ecor	nomically Disac	dvantaged	2012-13	< 10	74.8% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	5th G	Grade						
	All St	tudents		2011-12	< 10	81% < 10		< 10 < 10
	White	е		2011-12	< 10	82.5% < 10		< 10 < 10
	Male	•		2011-12	< 10	80.4% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Ecor	nomically Disac	dvantaged	2011-12	< 10	81.6% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
Science	5th G	Grade						
		tudents		2011-12	< 10	45.4% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	White			2011-12		49.6% < 10		10 < < 10

Testing Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficien	% Surpasse	ed <u>% Attain</u> (Level 2	ed % Emerging 2) (Level 3)
							10	10
	Male			2011-12	< 10	47.6% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10
	Econ	omically Disac	dvantaged	2011-12	< 10	46.4% < 10	< 10 < 10	< 10 < 10

Supported Independence

Testing Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
No	records to	display for the	e current Report S	Settings.				

Participation

<u>Testing</u>	School	<u>%</u> Students	State % Students	<u>District %</u> Students	School % Students	% Surpassed	% Attained	% Emerging
Group	<u>Year</u>	Tested	Proficient	Proficient	Proficient	<u>(Level 1)</u>	(Level 2)	<u>(Level 3)</u>

No records to display for the current Report Settings.

Accountability	Detail F	Reporting
----------------	----------	-----------

Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)		% Proficient for Accounta	bility*
All Students				
		Statewide		
			Mathematics 98.7%	58.2%
			Reading 99%	83.1%
			Science 97.9%	38.6%
			Social Studies 96.7%	57.5%
			Writing 98.2%	69.4%
		District		
			Mathematics 99.1%	45.7%
			Reading 99.2%	75.3%
			Science 99.2%	< 30%
			Social Studies 98.2%	39.5%
			Writing 98.5%	53%
		School		
			Mathematics 99.4%	49.6%
			Reading 99.7%	79.9%
			Science 100%	< 30%
			Writing 100%	41.7%
Bottom 30%				
		Statewide		
			Mathematics	< 30%
			Reading	51.3%

<u>Subject</u>	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accoun	tability*
		Science	< 30%
		Social Studies	< 30%
		Writing	< 30%
		District	
		Mathematics	< 30%
		Reading	< 30%
		Science	< 30%
		Social Studies	< 30%
		Writing	< 30%
		School	
		Mathematics	< 30%
		Reading	36.6%
		Science	< 30%
		Writing	< 30%
African American			
		Statewide	
		Mathematics 96.9%	32.7%
		Reading 97.3%	67.9%
		Science 94.8%	< 30%
		Social Studies 92.4%	< 30%
		Writing 95.6%	48.8%
		District	
		Mathematics 100%	83.3%
		Reading 100%	50%
		Science 100%	100%
		Social Studies 100%	< 30%
		Writing 100%	< 30%
		School	
		Mathematics 100%	66.7%
		Reading 100%	33.3%
		Writing 100%	< 30%
American Indian		-	
		Statewide	
		Mathematics 98.5%	48.4%
		Reading 98.8%	79.7%
		Science 97.5%	< 30%
		Social Studies 95.9%	52.3%
		Writing 97.7%	61.6%
		District	
		Mathematics 100%	50%
		Reading 100%	50%
		Science 100%	< 30%
		Social Studies 100%	50%
		Writing	
		School	
		Mathematics 100%	
		Reading 100%	
Asian		-	
		Statewide	
		Mathematics 99.4%	81.5%

Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)		% Proficient for Accounta	bility*
			Reading 100.3%	90.1%
			Science 99.1%	57.4%
			Social Studies 99%	73.6%
			Writing 98.9%	82.9%
		District		
			Mathematics 100%	50%
			Reading 100%	75%
			Science 100%	50%
			Social Studies 100%	< 30%
			Writing 100%	100%
		School		
			Mathematics 100%	
			Reading 100%	
Hispanic of Any Race				
		Statewide		
			Mathematics 98.6%	45.9%
			Reading 99.2%	77%
			Science 97.9%	< 30%
			Social Studies 96.1%	43%
			Writing 98%	59.7%
		District		
			Mathematics 100%	54.6%
			Reading 100%	100%
			Science 100%	< 30%
			Social Studies 100%	66.7%
			Writing 100%	57.1%
		School		
			Mathematics 100%	< 30%
			Reading 100%	100%
			Writing 100%	33.3%
Native Hawaiian or Othe	r Pacific Islander			
		Statewide		
			Mathematics 97.4%	64.9%
			Reading 97.4%	85.7%
			Science 93.7%	49.2%
			Social Studies 93.2%	59.7%
			Writing 94.5%	74.4%
		District		
			Mathematics	
			Reading	
			Science	
			Social Studies	
			Writing	
Two or More Races				
		Statewide		
			Mathematics 99.2%	55.9%
			Reading 99.4%	83.6%
			Science 98.7%	35.7%
			Social Studies 97.6%	53.5%
			Writing 98.9%	68.5%

District Mathematics 100% 44.4% Reading 100% 77.8% Science 100% 33.3% Science 100% 33.3% Science 100% 50% 50% Writing 100% 50% 50% Writing 100% 50% 66.7% Reading 100% 100% 50	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	9	% Proficient for Accounta	bility*
Reading 100% 77.8% 32.3%	<u> </u>				
Science 100% 33.3% 50cial Studies 100% 50% 50cial Studies 100% 50% 50% 50cial Studies 100% 66.7% 66.7% 66.7% 66.7% 66.7% 66.7% 66.7% 66.7% 66.7% 66.7% 67.7% 66.7% 66.7% 66.7% 66.7% 66.7% 66.7% 66.7% 67.7% 66.7% 6				Mathematics 100%	44.4%
School Stroke S				Reading 100%	77.8%
School S				Science 100%	33.3%
School Mathematics 10%				Social Studies 100%	< 30%
Mathematics 10% 66.7% Reading 100%				Writing 100%	50%
Reading 100% 100% Science 100% 100%			School		
Science 100% 100%				Mathematics 100%	66.7%
White Statewide Authematics 99.2% 64.4% 66.4% 66.4% 66.4% 66.4% 66.4% 66.4% 66.9% 64.4% 66.9% 64.7% 50.00 all Studies 99% 64.7% 64.7% 60.7% 70.7%				Reading 100%	100%
White Statewide Mathematics 99.2% 64.4% 6.9% 36.9% 36.9% 36.9% 36.9% 36.9% 36.9% 36.9% 36.9% 36.9% 36.9% 36.9% 45% 36.9% 45% 46.7% Writing 99.9% 74.3% 74.3% 74.3% 74.3% 74.3% 75.1% 86.2% 75.1% 86.2% 75.1% 86.2% 75.1% 86.2% 75.1% 86.2% 75.1% 86.2% 75.1% 86.2% 75.1% 86.2% 75.1% 86.2% 75.1% 86.2% 75.1% 86.2% 75.1% 86.2% 75.1% 86.2% 75.1% 86.2% 86				Science 100%	100%
Statewide				Writing 100%	< 30%
Mathematics 99.2% 64.4% Reading 99.4% 86.9% 50cience 98.7% 45% 50cience 98.7% 45% 50cial Studies 98% 64.7% Writing 98.9% 74.3%	White		Statowida		
Reading 99.4% 86.9% Science 98.7% 45% 45% Science 98.7% 45% 45% Science 98.7% 45%			Statewide	Mathematics 99 2%	64 4%
Science 98.7% 45% 64.7%					
Social Studies 98% 64.7% 74.3% 75.1% 75.1% 75.1% 75.1% 75.2% 75.1% 75.2% 75.1% 75.2% 75.1% 75.2%					
Mithematics 99.1% 74.3% 75.1%					
District					
Mathematics 99.1% 45.1% Reading 99.2% 75.1% Science 99.1% < 30% Social Studies 98.1% 40.4% 53.2% Writing 98.4% 53.2% Science 99.7% 80% Reading 99.7% 80% Reading 99.7% 80% Science 100% 43.3% Economically Disadvantaged Statewide Mathematics 98.2% 43.7% Reading 98.6% 74.8% Science 97% < 30% Science 98.9% < 30% Sci			District	3	
Science 99.1% < 30% Social Studies 98.1% 40.4% 53.2% 60.2%				Mathematics 99.1%	45.1%
Science 99.1% < 30% Social Studies 98.1% 40.4% 53.2% 60.2%					
Notiting 98.4% 53.2% School					< 30%
School Mathematics 99.4% 49.8% Reading 99.7% 80% Science 100% < 30% Writing 100% < 30% Writing 100% < 33.3% Statewide Statewide Reading 98.6% 74.8% Science 97% < 30% Science 98.9% < 30% Science 97% < 30% Science 97% < 30% Science 97% < 30% Science 98.9% <				Social Studies 98.1%	40.4%
School Mathematics 99.4% 49.8% Reading 99.7% 80% Science 100% < 30% Writing 100% < 30% Writing 100% < 33.3% Statewide Statewide Reading 98.6% 74.8% Science 97% < 30% Science 98.9% < 30% Science 97% < 30% Science 97% < 30% Science 97% < 30% Science 98.9% <				Writing 98.4%	53.2%
Reading 99.7% 80% Science 100% < 30% 30% Writing 100% 43.3%			School	•	
Science 100% < 30% Writing 100% 43.3%				Mathematics 99.4%	49.8%
Economically Disadvantaged Statewide Mathematics 98.2% 43.7% Reading 98.6% 74.8% Science 97% < 30% Science 97% < 30% Social Studies 95.1% 40.3% Writing 97.3% 55.7% District Mathematics 98.8% 43.8% Reading 99% 72.7% Reading 99% 72.7% Science 98.9% < 30% Science 98.9% < 30% Science 98.9% < 30% Science 98.9% < 45% Writing 98.6% 74.8% Reading 99.6% 74.8% Science 100% < 30% Writing 100% 34.9% English Language Learners Statewide				Reading 99.7%	80%
Statewide Statewide Statewide Statewide Mathematics 98.2% 43.7% Reading 98.6% 74.8% Science 97% < 30% Social Studies 95.1% 40.3% Writing 97.3% 55.7% Writing 97.3% 55.7% Science 97% < 30% Social Studies 95.1% 40.3% Writing 97.3% 55.7% Writing 97.3% 55.7% Science 98.8% 43.8% Reading 99% 72.7% Science 98.9% < 30% Science 98.9% < 30% Science 98.9% < 30% Writing 98% 49.5% Science 98.9% 49				Science 100%	< 30%
Statewide				Writing 100%	43.3%
Mathematics 98.2% 43.7% Reading 98.6% 74.8% Science 97% < 30% Social Studies 95.1% 40.3% Writing 97.3% 55.7% District	Economically Disadvar	ntaged			
Reading 98.6% 74.8% Science 97% < 30% Social Studies 95.1% 40.3% Writing 97.3% 55.7% District			Statewide		
Science 97%				Mathematics 98.2%	43.7%
Social Studies 95.1% 40.3% Writing 97.3% 55.7%				Reading 98.6%	74.8%
Writing 97.3% 55.7%				Science 97%	< 30%
District Mathematics 98.8% 43.8% Reading 99% 72.7% Science 98.9% < 30% Social Studies 97.9% 34.5% Writing 98% 49.5% School School Mathematics 99.2% 45% Reading 99.6% 74.8% Reading 99.6% 74.8% Science 100% < 30% Writing 100% 34.9% English Language Learners Statewide				Social Studies 95.1%	40.3%
Mathematics 98.8% 43.8% Reading 99% 72.7% Science 98.9% < 30% Social Studies 97.9% 34.5% Writing 98% 49.5% Writing 98% 45% Reading 99.6% 74.8% Reading 99.6% 74.8% Science 100% < 30% Writing 100% 34.9% English Language Learners Statewide Statewide Statewide Statewide Statewide Statewide Statewide Science 100% Science 100				Writing 97.3%	55.7%
Reading 99% 72.7% Science 98.9% < 30% Social Studies 97.9% 34.5% Writing 98% 49.5% School			District		
Science 98.9%				Mathematics 98.8%	43.8%
Social Studies 97.9% 34.5% Writing 98% 49.5%				Reading 99%	72.7%
Writing 98% 49.5%				Science 98.9%	< 30%
School Mathematics 99.2% 45% Reading 99.6% 74.8% Science 100% < 30% Writing 100% 34.9% English Language Learners Statewide				Social Studies 97.9%	34.5%
Mathematics 99.2% 45% Reading 99.6% 74.8% Science 100% < 30% Writing 100% 34.9% English Language Learners Statewide				Writing 98%	49.5%
Reading 99.6% 74.8% Science 100% < 30% Writing 100% 34.9% English Language Learners Statewide			School		
Science 100% < 30% Writing 100% 34.9% English Language Learners Statewide					
English Language Learners Statewide Writing 100% 34.9% Statewide					74.8%
English Language Learners Statewide					
Statewide				Writing 100%	34.9%
	English Language Lea	rners			
Mathematics 98.9% 36.9%			Statewide		
				Mathematics 98.9%	36.9%

Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)		% Proficient for Accountal	oility*
			Reading 100.5%	62.4%
			Science 98%	< 30%
			Social Studies 96.4%	< 30%
			Writing 97.3%	42.1%
		District		
			Mathematics	
			Reading	
			Science	
			Social Studies	
			Writing	
Students With Disabilities				
		Statewide		
			Mathematics 97.8%	32.5%
			Reading 98.1%	51.8%
			Science 96.5%	< 30%
			Social Studies 91.9%	< 30%
			Writing 96.6%	< 30%
		District		
			Mathematics 96.8%	34.1%
			Reading 98.1%	49.3%
			Science 96.3%	< 30%
			Social Studies 93.6%	< 30%
			Writing 93.4%	< 30%
		School		
			Mathematics 98.6%	36.9%
			Reading 100%	54.6%
			Science 100%	< 30%
			Writing 100%	36.4%

Accountability Scorecard Completion Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)

All Students		
	Statewide	
		76.2%
	District	
		72.7%
African American		
	Statewide	F0.00/
American Indian		59.9%
American Indian	Statewide	
	Statewide	66.4%
Asian		33.173
	Statewide	
		87.4%
Hispanic of Any Race		
	Statewide	
		64.3%
Migrant		

Accountability Scorecard Completion Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)

	(Guai o	<u>U /8)</u>
	Statewide	
	Otatewide	
		68.3%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		
	Statewide	
	Statewide	
		73.2%
Two or More Races		
TWO OF MOTO FIGURE		
	Statewide	
		73.5%
White		
Write		
	Statewide	
		81.5%
	District	
	District	
		72.5%
Female		
Terriale		
	Statewide	
		80.8%
Mala		
Male		
	Statewide	
		72%
		1270
Economically Disadvantaged		
	Statewide	
		649/
		64%
	District	
		66%
		3373
English Language Learners		
	Statewide	
		63.1%
		03.176
Students With Disabilities		
	Statewide	
		E0 E0/
		53.5%
Homeless		
	Statewide	
	Statemas	50.00/
		53.8%
		Attendance Rate
		(Goal 90%)
		(GOGI 30 /0)
All Students		
	Statewide	
		0.49/
		94%
	District	
		93%
	Online	93 /6
	School	93 /6
	School	94%

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

** More information regarding Michigan School Accountability Scorecards can be found at the following link:
http://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,4615,7-140-22709 25058---,00.html

Accountability Status Reporting

School

Distri Scho 1 Title 1 Statu Name Name s	Readin g g Status Score	Writin Writin g Status Score	Statu Math	Scienc <u>e</u> Status	Scienc e Score	Social Studie <u>s</u> Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
Harrison Community Schools	Hillside Ele	ementary School	Gree 2	Green 2	2 Green	2 Green	e 2 Gr	ee 2 Y	ello W 38

Other B.A. M.A. P.H.D. 15 5

0

Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Certification Percent

0%

Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification

High-Poverty Low-Poverty School Schools **Schools Aggregate** Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

NAEP Grade 4 Mathematics Results

Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient		Per	cent Adv	/anced
All Students			100	22	43	30	5
Male			50	21	42	31	6
Female			50	22	45	29	4
National Lunch Program Eligibility							
Eligible			43	35	47	17	1
Not Eligible			56	11	41	41	8
Info not available							
Race/Ethnicity							
White			71	14	45	36	5
Black			16	53	39	8	0
Hispanic			6	31	48	19	2
Asian			3	7	22	45	26
American Indian				‡	‡	‡	‡
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				‡	‡	‡	#
Two or More Races			2	23	50	21	6
Student classified as having a disal	oility						
SD	· ·		13	50	37	13	1
Not SD			87	18	44	32	5
Student is an English Language Le	arner						
ELL ELL	a		4	47	41	11	1
Not ELL			96	21	44	31	5

‡ Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2011 Mathematics

NAEP Grade 8 Mathematics Results

Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient		Per	cent Adv	vanced
All Students			100	29	40	25	6
Male			51	28	39	26	7
Female			49	30	41	24	5
National Lunch Program Eligibility			40			4.5	
Eligible			42	45	39	15	2
Not Eligible Info not available			58	18	41	32	9
Race/Ethnicity			74	00	40	00	•
White			74	22	43	29	6
Black			16	66	26	7	0
Hispanic			4	26	41	18	5
Asian			3	13	25	31	32
American Indian			1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				‡	‡	‡	#
Two or More Races			2	‡	‡	‡	#
Student classified as having a disal	bility						
SD	•		12	70	23	5	1
Not SD			88	25	41	27	6
Student is an English Language Le	arner						
ELL			2	57	27	7	10
Not ELL			98	29	40	25	6

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2011 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP Grade 4 Reading Results

Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient		Per	cent Adv	vanced
All Students			100	34	34	25	6
Male			50	38	33	24	6
Female			50	31	36	26	7
National Lunch Program Eligibility							
Eligible			45	-4	32	15	2
Not Eligible			55	51 21	36	33	10
Info not available				21			
Race/Ethnicity							
White			70	26	37	30	7
Black			17	67	24	7	1
Hispanic			6	51	29	17	3
Asian			3	19	33	33	15
American Indian			0	#	‡	‡	‡
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				‡	‡	‡	#
Two or More Races			2	36	31	19	14
Student classified as having a disa	bility						
SD	•		13	73	17	8	2
Not SD			87	30	36	27	7
Student is an English Language Le	earner						
ELL			3	67	26	7	0
Not ELL			97	33	35	25	7

Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Reading Assessment.

NAEP Grade 8 Reading Results

Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient		Pei	rcent Adv	vanced
All Students			100	23	45	29	3
Male			50	28	47	24	2
Female			50	18	43	35	4
National Lunch Program Eligibility	1						
Eligible			42	35	46	18	0
Not Eligible			58	14	44	37	4
Info not available							
Race/Ethnicity							
White			74	18	46	33	3
Black			16	46	43	10	0
Hispanic			4	25	50	25	1
Asian			3	19	27	39	14
American Indian			1	‡	‡	#	#
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				‡	‡	#	‡ ‡
Two or More Races			1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disa	abilitv						
SD	,		12	67	27	6	0
Not SD			88	19	46	31	3
Student is an English Language L	earner						
ELL ELL			2	52	40	8	0
Not ELL			98	22	45	30	3
· · · · · 							-

Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Reading Assessment.

Grade S	ubject Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error		tion Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math	85	2.0	73	3.3
	Reading	75	3.1	93	2.4
8	Math	73	2.5	83	4.7
	Reading	63	3.3	79	4.5

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is periodically administered by the U.S. Department of Education based on assessments administered to samples of students in each state. Federal regulations require the display of state level NAEP data on the Annual Education Report. These data represent the performance of the most recent sample of Michigan students on the NAEP.

Family Engagement Policy

It is the policy of Larson Elementary Schools to fully implement family engagement programs, activities and procedures with meaningful consultation with parents of participating children. One percent of funding has been dedicated to family engagement activities. Such programs, activities and procedures shall be planned and implemented with meaningful consultation with families of participating children.

Larson Elementary School

Title I School-Wide Family Engagement Policy

Vision

Families are their children's first and most important teachers. When the school and families are involved cooperatively, positive results occur. These include high student achievement, reduced absenteeism, improved behavior, and a feeling of confidence regarding the partnership between home and school.

Part I: Policy Engagement

The Larson Elementary Title I School-Wide Family Engagement Policy was created as a collaborative effort between families and staff. Families played a key role in the development of this policy and we will continue to utilize their feedback and suggestions in order to update and improve our School-Wide Title I Program. 1118(b)

Convene an annual meeting: 1118 (c) (1)

Larson Elementary School will:

- Hold an annual meeting for all families at the first Parent-Teacher-Organization meeting in September.
- The purpose of the meeting will be to share the School-wide School Improvement Plan, review and evaluate the Family Engagement Policy, and to explain our Title 1 program and how families can be involved to help their child be successful.
- Families will be notified of the meeting through way of the school newsletter informing them of the time and date.
- Hold a meeting to present the Annual Report at our second PTO meeting at the end of September.

Offer flexible meeting times & varied activities designed to support and encourage the engagement of all parents: 1118 (c) (2)

Larson Elementary School will ensure the engagement of all parents by offering the following activities:

- Family Orientation Night (curriculum based)
- Monthly Family Academic Workshops (Childcare Provided)
- Parent/Family Teacher Conferences
- Monthly PTO Meetings
- Flexible IEP Times
- Family Engagement Coordinator
- Fall Open House
- Progress reports as needed
- PA25 Annual Report
- Family participation with building school improvement tasks
- Two way communication
- Student / Family/ School Compact
- Family Volunteers

Engage families in planning, reviewing, implementing and improving the School-Wide Title 1 program, including the School and District Family Engagement Policy: 1118 (c) (3)

Larson Elementary School will ensure the engagement of families in planning, reviewing, implementation and improving the School-Wide Title 1 program by:

- Reviewing the School-Wide Title I Family Engagement Policy at the first PTO meeting in September.
- Posting the Family Engagement Policy for families to review during the fall Parent/Family Teacher conferences in a visible location. A suggestion box under the plan will make it possible for families to share suggestions.
- Reviewing and distributing the family-school compact at our fall PTC's.
- Conducting a family survey for all families using Survey Monkey. Surveys will be conducted during the fall PTC's.
- Placing a suggestion box in a visible location for continued communication between families and school all year. Reviewing suggestions and comments at monthly PTO meetings. Acting on these suggestions made by stakeholders as appropriate. Revision will be made to the School-Wide Title I Family Engagement Policy as needed based on the family survey and suggestion box.
- Hold a Title I meeting in January at flexible times to review the title I program, family engagement policy and ask for suggestions for improvement. Provide families with a short survey so they may provide feedback on the program. These suggestions will be used to revise the family school compact and family engagement policy.
- Expanding all avenues of communication with families (school newsletters, district publications, School Messenger and website) to increase family engagement in the school-wide Title I program.

Provide timely information about the School-Wide Title 1 Program, the academic curriculum, assessments used to measure progress, and grade level expectations: 1118 (c) (4) (A) (B) Larson Elementary School will provide timely information through:

- Monthly Family Academic Workshops (Childcare Provided)
- Parent/Family Teacher Conferences November/December and February
- Monthly PTO Meetings
- Annual Title I Meeting
- Flexible IEP Times
- Family Engagement Coordinator
- Fall Open House
- Progress reports and report cards
- PA25 Annual Report
- Family participation with building school improvement tasks
- Two way communication Telephone calls, E-mail correspondence
- Student / Family School Compact
- Family Volunteers
- District Web Site Policy and Compact available on web site
- Home visits
- Family/Student handbook
- Weekly take home folders
- School/classroom newsletters
- Early Childhood conferences, "Here We Come" to kindergarten transition meeting with all preschools in the district, Early Childhood Curriculum and Parent Meeting (childcare provided)

Provide families the opportunity to interact with teachers regarding the education of their children. Include families in the decision making process and utilize their suggestions for improvement. Revise the School-Wide plan to meet student and families need and share revisions with LEA: 1118 (c) (4) (C) & 1118 (c) (5)

Larson Elementary School will provide families an opportunity to interact with their child's school by:

- Family suggestion box located in a visible location where families can make suggestions for improvement and comments regarding the School-Wide Title I Program. Include School-Wide Title I as a monthly agenda item at PTO meetings and share suggestions. Utilize family feedback to revise and update our School-Wide Title I program to meet the needs of families and students. Share suggestions and comments with the LEA and act on suggestions as appropriate
- Conduct a family survey at the fall Parent/Family Teacher conferences for all families using Survey Monkey. Family Engagement Consultant will assist with technology needs.
- Hold a Title I meeting in January to begin evaluating the school improvement plan, family engagement policy and compact. Revisions will be made based on suggestions for improvement from family survey, written and verbal communication. Suggestions will be used to make revisions when appropriate.

- Parent/Family Teacher Conferences (November/December, February, additional conferences upon family request).
- *Telephone calls e-mail correspondence between families teachers and school.*
- Larson's mail system (Hornet Mail).

Part II: Share Responsibilities for High Student Academic Achievement

Develop jointly with families and teachers a School/Family/Student Compact. The compact will outline ways in which the families, school staff, and students will work together to ensure high student academic achievement. The compact will be reviewed and discussed during fall parent/family-teacher conferences: 1118 (d) (1) & 1118 (d) (2) (A)

Larson Elementary School will develop a School/Family/Student Compact:

- The School/Family/Student compact is developed with family input and reviewed annually. Revisions are made based on the Fall PTC survey results and additional suggestions provided by families.
- The compact is reviewed and given to all families at the November Parent/Family Teacher conference. Teachers discuss how the compact relates to the success of each individual child. This ensures a strong home/school connection and allows all stakeholders to have a voice.

Provide frequent reports to families on their children's progress: 1118 (d) (2) (B)

Larson Elementary School will provide various academic reports to families:

- Reports cards November, March, and June
- Progress reports available on a as-needed basis
- Family contact regarding progress for academic disadvantage students
- On-line access to grades, lessons, and attendance (Skyward). Family training on how to use Skyward will be available during Parent/Family Teacher Conferences
- Parent/Family Teacher Conferences in November/December and February additional conferences available upon request

Afford families reasonable access to staff. Provide opportunities for families to volunteer participate and observe in their child's classroom: 1118 (d) (2) (C)

Larson Elementary School has an open door policy for families with both administration and staff. Additionally, families are encouraged to participate in the following activities:

- Monthly PTO meetings (Parent Teacher Organization)
- Room helpers
- Reading Buddies
- Tutoring one-on-one
- Chaperones for field trips
- Playground/lunchroom help/Parking help
- Lunch with their child
- Library volunteers
- Typing in our publishing center

- Office/health room help
- Fitness Mileage Club

Part III: Building Capacity for Involvement

Provide information and assistance to families regarding the state and local academic standards and assessments: 1118 (e) (1) To ensure that families are informed about academic standards and assessments, Larson Elementary School will provide the following:

- Family copy of the Common Core State Standards at Fall Open House
- Parent/Families Teacher Conferences
- Local assessment information (Dibels, school-wide assessments in reading, writing, math, spelling, benchmark assessment in science / social studies) shared with families at PTC's
- Students receiving additional assistance will be progress monitored on a monthly or bi-weekly basis depending on student need. Information will be shared with families when appropriate.
- Title I Consultant available at conferences to provide information and answer questions about assessments.
- During preschool/kindergarten transition, staff share kindergarten expectations and provide families with examples of at home activities to help their child reach their highest potential.
- Monthly family workshops (including Early Childhood Program)

Provide materials and training to families: 1118 (e) (2)

Larson Elementary School offer training and materials to families through the following events and activities:

- *Take-home book bags daily or weekly*
- *Monthly family workshops*
- Fall Open House
- Provide lists of community resources to individual parents and assistance in accessing these resources as needed
- Summer school
- Weekly school/teacher newsletter
- Website –provides parents with materials and resources to help their child achieve success and support at home.
- PTO meetings
- Preschool/Kindergarten Transition Night

Educate teachers, Title 1 staff and principals regarding the value of family involvement, ways to communicate effectively with families, and implementation of family programs: 1118 (e) (3)

• Larson Elementary School values and respects family involvement in the school community. Family involvement will be part of the professional development plan. Time at bi-weekly staff meetings will be devoted to learn about effective family communication (6 types). Teachers will learn how to post classroom newsletters, add materials and resources to the school website. A family resource area is available to all families.

Coordinate family involvement activities with other programs: 1118 (e) (4)

Larson Elementary School will work to coordinate programs to ensure success for all:

- Transition to Kindergarten activities to educate preschool parents, daycares and community stakeholders on Kindergarten expectations
- GSRP Great Start Readiness Program Preschool program located across the street and affiliated with Larson Elementary School prepare students for Kindergarten expectations
- Headstart and RESD Preschool
- Preschool students and their parents are invited to Larson Elementary School to tour their new building (April)
- Big Brother/Big Sister lunch program
- *Grandparents program*
- PTO
- October Fun School wide fun night
- City Library assemblies
- Chartwell's food service program
- *SPARKS after school program*

Inform families of school and family programs in a timely and practical format in a language they can understand: 1118 (e) (5) To ensure that all families are informed in a timely and user-friendly manner, Larson Elementary School will provide:

- Daily take home folders
- School/classroom newsletters written in a language parents can understand
- Local newspaper
- Accommodations available as needed

Provide support for family involvement at their request: 1118 (e) (14)

Larson Elementary School will make every effort to support our families and make sure their needs are met:

- Make every effort to accommodate parent requests to ensure that students and families individual needs are met in order to foster more positive family involvement.
- Family Engagement Coordinator will ensure increased communication

Part IV: Accessibility

Family engagement activities accessible to all families, including those with disabilities and families who use English as their 2^{nd} language: 1118 (f) Larson Elementary School will provide:

- Flexible meeting times
- Handicapped accessible facilities
- Home visits
- Transportation assistance
- School/classroom newsletters written in a language families can understand
- Collaborations with community agencies
- Other accommodations available as needed

Parent Teacher Conferences

Parent Teacher Conference Attendance Data								
Subgroup	201	1-2012	201	12-2013				
	Number of	Percentage of	Number of	Percentage of				
	students	students	students	students				
	represented	represented	represented	represented				
All	264/337	78%	251/314	80%				
American Indian	1/1	100%	NA	NA				
Native Alaskan								
Asian	3/3	100%	2/2	100%				
Pacific Islander								
Black, Not of	2/2	100%	NA	NA				
Hispanic Origin								
Hispanic	2/2	100%	1/1	100%				
Multi Origin	6/11	55%	10/12	83%				
White, Not of Hispanic Origin	251/318	79%	239/300	80%				
Students with Disabilities	8/14	57%	7/12	53%				
Limited English Proficiency	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Economically Disadvantaged	207/274	76%	208/264	79%				
Male	134/170	79%	129/150	86%				

Female	121/156	78%	124/160	76%

The staff at Larson Elementary School welcomes this opportunity to provide parents, students, staff and community members with information about our school. We are proud of our accomplishments. 3With hard work and continued involvement from parents and community members, we are confident that we will prevail in our efforts to improve student achievement and close the achievement gap.

Sincerely,

Julie A. Rosekrans, Principal Larson Elementary School